

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,054	10/803,054 03/18/2004		Katsuhiko Tominaga	VX042602	4622	
21369	7590	02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
POSZ LAW		•	IP, SIKYIN			
12040 SOUT SUITE 101	H LAKE	S DR.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, V	A 20191	1	1742			

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/803,054	TOMINAGA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sikyin Ip	1742

	Sikyin Ip	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance; a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in continued periods:</li> </ol>	to or on the same day as filing the following replies: (1) an an (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab nendment, affidavit, or other evide ppeal fee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from t b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for repl Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	e of this Advisory Action, or (2) the y expire later than SIX MONTHS ox (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX MPEP 706.07(f).	e date set forth in the final rejection, w from the mailing date of the final rejec (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	tion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration daset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR NOTICE OF APPEAL	riod of extension and the correspo te of the shortened statutory perio office later than three months after	onding amount of the fee. The approp od for reply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 January 20 the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41 appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	.37(a)), or any extension ther	eof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re	jection, but prior to the date o	of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require fu			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NC			
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the applicati appeal; and/or</li></ul>			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37	CFR 1.121. See attached Not	ice of Non-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	uld be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendme how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows Claim(s) allowed:	ed is provided below or appen		explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e)</li> </ol>	good and sufficient reasons w	te of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> why the affidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is re</li> </ol>	ailed to overcome <u>all</u> rejection ecessary and was not earlier	s under appeal and/or appellant f presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An ex REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has bee because:	n considered but does NOT p	iace the application in condition fo	or allowance
See Continuation Sheet.	mont/o\ (DTO/SD/00 DTO :	1440) Rapor No/s)	13
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	nenus). (P10/58/08 of P10-	1445) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>
			YIN IP EXAMINER
		, 10141VU 1	EVAININEH

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed limitations have never appeared in claims before; thus, they raise new issues that would require further consideration. The calculation of Fe content "43.389" is incorrect and it is new matter because the instant transitional expression "essentially consisting of" is not a closed expression which could include unrecited ingredients.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in Final rejection. Applicants' statement in paragraph bridging pages 12-13 of instant remarks is noted. However, it is not supported by the interview summary dated November 16, 2005.